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Campus Research Computing Consortium

an organization of dedicated professionals developing, advocating for, and advancing campus research cyberinfrastructure (CI) and associated professions

think *research computing & data (RC&D)* infrastructure, including human infrastructure

**Activities include:**

**Professional Community** (“People Network”)
- in the form of year-round virtual conference with focused tracks; many calls on YouTube

**Working Groups** with specific objectives/products, including:
- Professionalization and Workforce Development
- Developing a common Capabilities Model for Research Computing and Data
  - Assessment Tool for campus research CI, covered in the previous CNI presentation
- Research IT Ecosystem
  - 2018 workshop, survey and catalog research CI entities, submitted PEARC paper

**Interest Groups** for discussion, which may lead to objectives/working groups

https://carcc.org/how-to-join/
Principles & Charter

Inclusive, transparent, trustworthy, collaborative, consensus driven

- CaRCC supported activities are community-owned (and ‘open’)
- CaRCC facilitates and spans boundaries
- CaRCC welcomes partners and collaborations with shared credit & attribution

Examples of Collaborators / Partners:
Why Professionalize Research Computing and Data?

To address several national, organizational, and occupational challenges:

➔ National shortage of Research Computing & Data personnel
  ● Individuals do not understand RCD as a career
    ○ No formal career path structure
    ○ Little awareness of career potential

➔ High employee turnover
  ● RCD groups struggle to recruit and retain staff
    ○ Where to find people?
    ○ How to train them?
    ○ How to develop and promote them?
Why Professionalize Research Computing and Data?

→ Occupational distinction from
  ◆ IT (i.e. Administrative/Enterprise IT)
  ◆ Researchers in other disciplines
→ Precarious employment and careers

RCD Professionalization Timeline
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RCN Meetings
RC Directors and Organizational Sociologist deliberate on the "CI Profession"

CI Professionalization Workshop
30 Institutions gathered to create the Job Elements and Career Guide, validating the "-facings" concept, outlining their roles/responsibilities.

RCD Workforce Survey
8 RCD professionals met over 5 months to create a national RCD survey, which will create the first large data set on the composition of the RCD workforce, a resource useful for individuals & hiring managers alike.

2016
facings term introduced

To describe "who" the CI professionals are, we described what entity the staff faced on a regular basis: researchers, systems, software/data, strategy/policy)

2017

2018
HR Job Family Matrix
6 RCD & 2 HR professionals met over 6 weeks and create a HR framework template with a unique RCD job family to be used by any institution.

2019

2020

2021
Career Arcs
15 RCD professionals began meeting to brainstorm methods to convey themes of Career Arcs from individual interviews.
Research IT Professionalization Process (Cornfeld)

Step 1: Establish a Research IT professional association (CaRCC?)
Step 2: Formalize and disseminate Research IT knowledge base
Step 3: Implement education programs for Research IT professionals and organizational managers

Step 4: Establish academic Research IT programs in universities
Step 5: Create Research IT graduate degree programs
Step 6: Establish local, national, and global Research IT labor market institutions
Step 7: Incubate start-up Research IT professional-services firms

Professionalization: the process by which our occupation organizes itself to ensure its practitioners perform their services well and thereby viewed as its own distinct occupation and as an integral part of scholarly research.

Goal: develop and disseminate frameworks and approaches to institutional leaders in HR, IT, Research, … that elevates RC&D as a distinct and highly valued career path.

Value: attracting, retaining, diversifying, and developing professional talent in RC&D.
2018 CI Professionalization Workshop Goals

- Learn from leading practices regarding Research IT job definitions and career paths
- Develop a flexible framework to organize Research IT hiring, career development, retention and other aspects of HR in the ecosystem
- Apply the framework for Research IT work:
  - Researcher-facing roles
  - Systems-facing roles
  - Software- & Data-facing roles
  - Strategy/Policy-facing roles
Systems-facing spectrum

1. Data Center
2. Physical Systems
3. Virtualized Layers
4. Systems Environment Management
5. Security & Privacy
6. Partnership & Communication
Researcher-facing roles

- Providing possible solutions to facilitate and/or transform research
- Engaging researchers to co-create and co-learn relevant advanced computing and data capabilities
- Facilitating collaboration and coordination with other people in the technology/research landscape
- Providing regular communications to the campus or external research community
- Actively solving problems & enhancing learning with full awareness of the local and larger research computing and data landscape.
Software/Data facing roles

- Workflow Design
- Documentation
- Data Wrangling ETL
- Visualization
- Curation Archival Presentation

Software and Data
Software/Data facing roles

Software and Data

- Workflow Design
- Documentation
- Curation Archival Presentation
- Visualization
- ETL
- Data Wrangling
- Training
- Security and Compliance
- Software Engineering
- Sys Admin
Software/Data facing roles

Domain Lens
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CaRCC
Strategy and Policy Facing Network

- Research IT Leader
  - Vendors
  - Alumni
  - Gov't Agencies
  - Prof. Assns
  - Industrial Partners
  - Institution Board
  - Research IT staff

CaRCC
2018 CI Professionalization Workshop Goals

• Learn from leading practices regarding Research IT job definitions and career paths
• Develop a flexible framework to organize Research IT hiring, career development, retention and other aspects of HR in the ecosystem
• Apply the framework for Research IT work
• Anticipate potential complications and disconnects when implementing the framework across diverse campuses
• Specify next steps in the utilization of the framework and, as a result, the further professionalization of Research IT work
Common Themes from CaRCC workshop

**Co-Creation (partnering with researchers):** Research computing and data professionals are co-creating methods and software models; Collaborative process, very different from delivery of traditional IT and software services.

**Career Paths** are incomplete in most organizations; creating challenges for recruiting, developing and retaining these professionals.

**Digital:** The exponential growth of digital technologies underlies work; accelerating change in the work due to changes in hardware, software, systems, and the nature of the data itself.

**Status:** Work of research computing & data professionals generally held in high regard by faculty with whom they work; important status and power differences between these professionals and principle investigators that are part of a larger “two-tier” culture in most university settings.

**Terminology:** Work centered on “cyberinfrastructure for research” and touches on many related domains, including “data science” and “high performance computing.” This work is distinct from, but connected to the work of “information technology” professionals.
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8 RCD professionals met over 5 months to create a national RCD survey, which will create the first large data set on the composition of the RCD workforce, a resource useful for individuals & hiring managers alike.
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To describe "who" the CI professionals are, we described what entity the staff faced on a regular basis: researchers, systems, software/data, strategy/policy)

2017

2018
HR Job Family Matrix
6 RCD & 2 HR professionals met over 6 weeks and create a HR framework template with a unique RCD job family to be used by any institution.
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Career Arcs
15 RCD professionals began meeting to brainstorm methods to convey themes of Career Arcs from individual interviews.
2019 HR Job Family Framework - Timeline

• 6 weeks - 1 hr meetings – April 8th – May 13th
  • Week 1: Agree upon framework used by Stanford and Harvard IT.
  • Week 2-5: skeleton draft (mid-level) --> email draft --> review on call --> flush out series --> finalize series --> …
  • Week 6: Review supporting document

• Sent out for review to all participants of 2018 CI Prof. Workshop
• Addressed and/or incorporated comments June-July

Scott Yockel (Harvard)        Wayne Gilmore (Boston U)
Melissa Lucius / Nicole Breen (Harvard HR)    Eric Adams (Purdue)
Erik Deumens (U FL)            Brian Balderston (SDSC)
Anna Thrombly (U FL, HR)       Janae Baker (Rutgers)
Positions within a Series

- Research Computing
  - Systems-facing
  - Researcher-facing
  - Software/Data-facing
  - Leadership/Stakeholder Facing

- Systems Professional I
- Systems Professional II
- Systems Professional III
- Systems Professional IV
- Systems Professional V
- Systems Manager IV
- Systems Manager V

Individual Contributor
Manager

CaRCC
Position Components

• Business Title
• Job Title
• Job Code
• Grade Level
• Job Summary
• Core Duties
• Basic/Minimum Qualifications
• Additional Qualifications/Skills

Parts to consider customizing per specific job

https://carcc.org/products/ → HR Job Family Matrix
Major Points of Concern

• Non-managerial career paths
  • for those that have the desire to grow into technical leadership roles but do not desire
to manage, recruit, and retain professional staff

• Distinctness from Enterprise IT
  • lower level positions have more overlap, but the direction of the series gets much
broader at the top, while in Enterprise IT the technical leads generally have a deeper
and narrower or more focused scope as they become the expert.

• Roles intentionally left out
  • business operational, research administration, library, finance, purely research

• Lacking in current SysAdmin roles
  • internal development of deployment practices, business operations, and
 collaborations between different technology entities whether it be data center staff,
campus networking/security, and other vendors
Major Points of Concern

• Education vs Experience
  • skills and experiences drive the desired minimum criteria -- there is not a specific required education for each series
  • research-facing series: we have found it crucial for a Facilitator to have a specific academic background with demonstrated research experience as this helps them relate better to the plight of the researcher and the research process.

• Single series for Software/Data-facing?
  • core duties we focused on are relevant to both areas
  • level 4 and 5 are essentially one in the same with a comprehensive set of knowledge and skills.

• How are there multiple Director levels?
  • progression changes with longer timelines and increasing scope of projects and collaborations.
  • position is commensurate with other leadership positions that they regularly engage
Harvard Specific Implementation

- **Data Scientist**: Research Function or Technology Function?
- **Bioinformatics**: Research Function or Technology Function?
- Consultants vs Facilitators Job Series
- Level 4 or 5 Individual Contributor push back because too few.
- Management at Level 3 added
- No Leadership/Stakeholder facing
- Identified many position outside of centralized RC groups.
  - Especially RSE & Data Scientists in individual labs.
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To describe "who" the CI professionals are, we described what entity the staff faced on a regular basis: researchers, systems, software/data, strategy/policy)
Building the research innovation workforce: a workshop to identify new insights and directions to advance the research computing community.

| NSF Org:         | OAC  
|                 | Office of Advanced Cyberinfrastructure (OAC) |
| Initial Amendment Date: | July 14, 2020 |
| Latest Amendment Date: | September 25, 2020 |
| Award Number: | 2036534 |
| Award Instrument: | Standard Grant |
| Program Manager: | Alan Sussman  
|                 | OAC Office of Advanced Cyberinfrastructure (OAC)  
|                 | CSE Direct For Computer & Info Scie & Engnr |
| Start Date: | July 15, 2020 |
| End Date: | June 30, 2021 (Estimated) |
| Awarded Amount to Date: | $163,960.00 |
| Investigator(s): | Thomas Hacker tjhacker@purdue.edu (Principal Investigator)  
|                 | Dana Brunson (Co-Principal Investigator)  
|                 | Preston Smith (Co-Principal Investigator)  
|                 | Lisa Arafure (Co-Principal Investigator) |

~100 participants

3 webinars (Aug-Sept 2021)

RCD professionals, industry, domains
Recommendations for the RCD Community

- Increase community awareness of **CI/RCD work as a unique career path** with an identity distinct from enterprise IT.

- A community organization needed to communicate **HR job families for CI/RCD**, and to develop supporting materials for **defining career arcs**, identifying good examples of internship and training programs, and dissemination to the community.

- **Educate** university and non-profit management about the **role of HPC/CI/RCD professionals** to communicate their value added to research and researchers.

- Organizations need to **create a compensation, career path, and promotion model** that **accommodates the dual research/service roles** present in the workforce ecosystem.

- Bring together the CI community with **experts in Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) and social science** to study the **problem of recruiting and sustaining a diverse and inclusive workforce** and develop a plan of action.

- Establish a **professional organization** (e.g., like the Campus Research Computing Consortium or within EDUCAUSE) to **formalizing the profession**, training, etc. to improve the CI workforce ecosystem.

- NSF could provide seed funding to incentivize the community to undertake a **national census of positions, pay grade, cost of living differences, and benefits for the CI/RCD workforce**.
2020-2021 RCD Professionals Census

● Bimonthly 1 hr meetings – Dec 2020 - April 2021
  ○ Dec: Agree upon scope of census, review other similar surveys
  ○ Jan-Mar: Design survey for individuals
  ○ Apr: Send out for review (beta tested)
  ○ May: IRB review & approval
  ○ Jun-Sept: Survey Open

This study aims to provide the first large data set on the composition of the RCD workforce in terms of demographics, job responsibilities, job types, compensation, and perceptions of the RCD field.

Scott Yockel (Harvard)    Ashley Stauffer (Penn State)
Timothy Middelkoop (Internet2)    Kimberly Grasch (U Chicago)
Jay Alameda (UIUC)    Amy Neeser (UC Berkeley)
Galen Collier (Rutgers)    Christina Maimone (Northwestern)
PLEASE TAKE THE SURVEY
tinyurl.com/rcdprof
What type of data would you like to have?

On Individuals

- Personal Background
- Role: Basics
- Role: Type of work
- Career/Job Perceptions
2021 Elements of a Career Arcs Resource

● Narratives of how (and why) existing RCD staff got into these roles
  ○ Allow potential staff to see themselves in a story
  ○ Help groups/managers understand the diversity of career paths into RCD
  ○ Emergent patterns will identify gaps/opportunities, inform strategic planning, etc.

● Examples of effective recruitment programs
  ○ Targeted at staff: previous roles, training needed for RCD roles
  ○ Targeted at students: identifying candidates, making the pitch, effective training

● Next steps for existing RCD staff
  ○ Paths for advancement: Technical expertise, domain expertise, leadership, etc., etc.
  ○ Training requirements, sources/providers

● Working with industry partners
  ○ Staff transitions to and from industry

Efforts led by Patrick Schmitz
Challenges for RCD Professionals Careers

- Individuals do not understand RCD as a career
  - No formal career path structure
  - Little awareness of career potential
- RCD groups struggle to recruit and retain staff
  - Where to find people?
  - How to train them?
  - How to develop and promote them?
| NSF Org: | OAC  
Office of Advanced Cyberinfrastructure (OAC) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awardee:</td>
<td>UNIVERSITY CORPORATION FOR ADVANCED INTERNET DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Amendment Date:</td>
<td>May 19, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest Amendment Date:</td>
<td>May 19, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Number:</td>
<td>2100003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Instrument:</td>
<td>Standard Grant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Program Manager: | Alan Sussman  
asussman@ist.g [[703]292-7563  
OAC  Office of Advanced Cyberinfrastructure (OAC)  
CSE  Direct For Computer & Info Sci & Engrn |
| Start Date: | May 15, 2021 |
| End Date: | April 30, 2023 (Estimated) |
| Total Intended Award Amount: | $1,497,243.00 |
| Total Awarded Amount to Date: | $1,497,243.00 |
| Funds Obligated to Date: | FY 2021 = $1,497,243.00 |
| History of Investigator: | Dana Brunson (Principal Investigator)  
dbrunson@internet2.edu (405)338-5678  
Thomas Chestn (Co-Principal Investigator)  
Scott Yockel (Co-Principal Investigator)  
Patrick Schmitz (Co-Principal Investigator)  
Claire Mizumo (Co-Principal Investigator) |
Discussion and questions
Appendix