Terascale, Petascale, Exascale and Beyond

Charlie Peck and Tom Murphy Earlham College and Contra Costa College

High Performance Computing Modernization Program Summer 2011 Workshop on Intermediate Parallel Programming & Cluster Computing produced in conjunction with the National Computational Science Institute and the SCII Conference, co-hosted at PUPR and OU

Where are we?

- Giga FLOPS 10^9 (floating point operations per second)
- Tera FLOPS 10^12
- Peta FLOPS 10^15
- Exa FLOPS 10^18
- Zeta FLOPS 10^21
- Jaguar 2.3 Peta FLOPS (peak), 250K CPU cores
- Nebulae 2.9 Peta FLOPS (peak), 120K CPU cores + GP-GPU cores
- Blue Waters 10.1 Peta FLOPS (peak, estimated), 300K CPU cores
- NVIDIA Tesla C2050 card 1.2 Tera FLOPS
 - ~#1 on the June 1993 Top 500 list
 - ~#500 on the Nov 2003 Top 500 list

Well, how did we get here?

- Clock frequency stalled around 2005
- Advent of GP-GPU hardware and libraries
- Performance increases now provided by core-count growth (CPU and GP-GPU)



Sunday, July 31, 2011

Where do we want to go?

- Grand challenge problems
 Whole body blood circulation
 Systems biology, e.g. mapping the human proteome Turing test
- Weather forecasting and climate modeling Predicting severe weather events Calculating localized sea level rise

• Data mining

Roughly IZB of stored digital data in 2009, estimated 35ZB in 2020 (IDC) Medical image scan at ~30GB each (was ~4GB each in 2005) Large Hadron Collider produces ~1.5GB/second of operation

Same as it ever was, only more so

 Power consumption, heat dissipation
 Currently ~400 MFLOP/Watt on HPL or ~2.5 MWatt per PetaFLOP, the goal is factor of 100 improvement

• Reliability

More parts, some of which are less reliable, makes for a lower MTBF, checkpointing does not scale well

• Programming

Ability to exploit all the available parallelism Checkpointing does not scale well Mapping algorithms to the underlying architectures efficiently; location, location, location

Computation and Communication

Into the Blue again...

- Blue Waters NCSA, IBM, and the Great Lakes Consortium for Petascale Computing
- IBM POWER7 CPUs (8 cores, 12 execution units each)
- Water cooled chassis
- Multi-level memory hierarchy (we've seen this before)
- Multi-level network hierarchy (this is fairly new to us)

Well, how might we get there?

- Hybrid programming models
 Message passing + shared memory
 Message passing + GP-GPU
- Capability vs capacity computing What work could be done effectively with a capacity approach rather than a capability approach?
- Improved software techniques
 Algorithms that scale more efficiently, e.g. strong vs weak scaling
 Tools which facilitate scaling the software engineering processes
 Improved memory utilization, cost of operations vs fetches
 Overlap computation and communication
 Improvements to load balancing algorithms
- Improved software resiliency Improvements to and replacements for the checkpointing approach

Questions?

With thanks and apologies to David Byrne and the Talking Heads...

Sunday, July 31, 2011