Building a System to Perform Fluid Dynamics Simulations and Experiments

OU Supercomputing Symposium Oct. 6, 2010

Willy Duffle, Chris Kiser, Grant Armstrong, Andrew Baker, Andrew Henderson, Alex Macharia, Lamar Williams, and Evan Lemley

> Department of Engineering and Physics University of Central Oklahoma

Dimitrios Papavassiliou, Henry Neeman, Ed O'Rear University of Oklahoma



Overview

Background Simulations

Flow Through Porous Media (FTPM) Flow in Junctions/Microjunctions **Microelbows Entrance Length in Microtubes Reynolds Number Dependence tees and wyes** Experimental Millijunctions & MicroJunctions **Current and Future Directions Bio-Scaffolds Renal Artery Aneurysm Microtubes**

Porous Media

Microfluidic Devices





Highly porous magnesian limestone. (www.dawntnicholson.org.uk) Microfluidic Valve Structure. (http://www.cchem.berkeley.edu/sjmgrp/people /boris/boris.htm)

Artificial Porous Media



Packed Beds, Gas and Liquid Filters Sphere sizes μ m to cm Hold-up for chemical reaction, thermal processing, or filtering

Basics of Porous Media Low Speed Flow – Darcy's Law

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{\mu}{\kappa}u$$

$$p = pressure \quad x = position$$

$$\mu = viscosity \quad \kappa = permeability$$

$$u = filtration \quad velocity$$

High Speed Flow – Forchheimer's Law

$$\frac{d\rho}{dk} = \frac{\mu}{\kappa} u + \rho \beta u^2$$

$$\beta = Forccheimer's Coefficient$$

Packed Beds – Ergun's Equation (empirical)

$$\frac{-\Delta p}{\Delta L} = \frac{150 \ \mu}{d_p^2} \frac{(1-\phi)^2}{\phi^3} u + \frac{175 \ \rho(1-\phi)}{d_p \phi^3} u^2$$

$$\phi = porosity$$

d_p=mean sphere diameter

Flow Through Porous Media

Collaborative Effort with Dimitrios Papavassiliou and Henry Neeman from OU (began Fall 2004) Simulation of Flow of Fluids through Porous Media

Code FTPM – Flow Through Porous Media. Solves for velocity and pressure at pore junctions in a randomly generated pore network.

Flow Network Analysis



Design and Analysis of networks depends on knowledge of flow and energy losses in arbitrary branches. No systematic studies to generalize these bifurcations

Porous Network Simulator (Collaboration with Univ. of Oklahoma)



3D Monte Carlo networks from normal, beta, or empirical distribution (pore size pdf) Coordination Number (1, 2, 3) number of pores entering and leaving a junction $\theta \pm 90^{\circ}$

Projection on the xy plane of a 3D network that has 200 entry points at x=0, porosity equal to 10% and a range of $\pm 60^{\circ}$ relative to the x axis and $\pm 30^{\circ}$ relative to the y axis.



FTPM Results



FTPM Results





Literature

T's and Y's – limited configurations and most are for turbulent flow

Basset, M.D., Winterbone, D.E., and Pearson, R.J., 2001, "Calculation of Steady Flow Pressure Loss Coefficients for Pipe Junctions," Proc. Instn. Mech. Engrs., Part C, Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, **215** (8), pp. 861-881.

W.H. Hager, 1984, "An Approximate Treatment of Flow in Branches and Bends," Proc. Instn. Mech. Engrs., Part C, Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, **198**(4) pp. 63-9.

Blaisdell, F.W., and Manson, P.W., 1967, "Energy loss at pipe junctions," J. Irrig. and Drainage Div., ASCE, 93(IR3), pp. 59-78.

Schohl, G.A., 2003, "Modeling of Tees and Manifolds in Networks," *Proceedings of the 4th ASME/JSME Joint Fluids Engineering Conference*, **2**, Part D, pp. 2779-2786.

Bassett, M.D., Pearson, R.J., and Winterbone, D.E., 1998, "Estimation of Steady Flow Loss Cofficients for Pulse Converter Junctions in Exhaust Manifolds," *IMechE Sixth International Conference on Turbocharging and Air Management Systems*, IMechE HQ, London, UK, **C554/002**, pp.209-218.

Ruus, E., 1970, "Head Losses in Wyes and Manifolds," J. Hyd. Div., ASCE, 96(HY3), 593-608.

Laminar loss coefficients and elbows, reductions, contractions – much *larger loss coefficients than turbulent case – strong dependence on Reynold's number*.

Edwards, M.F., Jadallah, M.S.M., and Smith, R., 1985, "Head Losses in Pipe Fittings at Low Reynolds Numbers," Chem. Engr. Res. Des., **63**(1), pp. 43-50. Importance of roughness at microscale

Problem Description

Stagnation Loss Coefficient

$$K_{2} = \frac{\left[\left(\frac{p_{1}}{\rho} + \frac{u_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{p_{2}}{\rho} + \frac{u_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]}{\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{2}}$$

Parameters: $d_2/d_1, d_3/d_1$ θ_2 and θ_3 f (this sets f)



 f_2 (this sets f_3) – (why? other literature and possibility of simulations where this is unknown initially)



Automation of Geometry Generation and CFD Runs

Custom Code was written to: (a) create GAMBIT journal files that instantiate the desired geometry <u>based on existing 2D geometries.</u> (c) create a script that loads journal files into GAMBIT and meshes (d) create all necessary preprocessing files for FLUENT.

(e) create post-processing files for FLUENT results and to tabulate results for a complete set of runs

Solution Methodology 2D Geometry Generalization

 $L_1 = 5 d_{max}$ L_2 and $L_3 = 10d_{max}$ If $d_2 > d_{avg}$, then r₂=3d₂; else r₂=2d₂ If $d_3 > d_{avg}$, then r₃=3d₃; else r₃=2d₃ $r_{4} = d_{max}/2$ **Generalized Geometry**



larger and smaller outlet ducts ; 2Dim. - 3Dim are underway avoid sharp edges ; 5 – 90 degrees for angles



3D Geometry – Mark I









Simulation Parameters

- Re_D (Reynolds Number = proportional to speed) was maintained at constant value at the inlet duct
- d₁ was 30 microns. The fluid was chosen to be liquid water at 20°C. The inlet flow velocity, u₁, was set to 0.5 m/s giving a Reynolds number of 15
- $Le_{D} = 0.06Re_{D} D$ --- gives 0.9 D for $Re_{D} = 15$
- FLUENT output files contain surface averaged static pressure and magnitude of flow velocity at duct cross-sections at the duct inlets and exits.

Duct Inlet/Outlets



Duct inlet and exit sections considered to be where geometry of duct is the same as the downstream portion for outlets and upstream portion for inlet.

Mesh Automation



Mesh was set to 1/4 of smallest duct **Tetrahedral Mesh** Large number of tests to assess ability to generalize the mesh (1/4 factor determined in this manner) Some testing to verify no change in results with change in mesh size. Inlet was specified as velocity inlet Outlets were outflow boundaries - allowed specification of flow fraction

Numerical Methods

Finite Volume solution of integral Navier Stokes Steady-State 3D Implicit SIMPLE for pressure velocity coupling 1st order upwind scheme of momentum discretization Max number of iterations Convergence criterion = 0.1%

Parameter Values

 d_2/d_1 and $d_3/d_1 = 0.5$, 1.0, 1.5 $f_{2} = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ θ_{3} and $\theta_{3} = 5^{\circ}$, 25°, 45°, 65°, 85° 600 runs attempted – 475 completed (geometry issues on *remainder*) Suite of C++ procedures to create geometries, input files, read and collate results Create GAMBIT script to create geometries Create input files for GAMBIT and FLUENT Read results files for static pressures and velocities averaged over surfaces in and out of junctions.

Fluent Result f2 = 0.1, d2/d1 = 0.5, θ2 = 5°, d3/d1 = 0.5, and θ3 = 45°



f2 = 0.1, θ2=45°, θ3=45°, d2/d1=0.5, d3/d1=1.5.



 $K_{2} = 5.47$

f2 = 0.3, θ2=65°, θ3=45°, d2/d1=0.5, d3/d1=1.5.



 $K_{2} = 11.6$

f2 = 0.5, θ2=65°, θ3=45°, d2/d1=0.5, d3/d1=1.5.



 $K_{2} = 18.4$

f2 = 0.7, θ2=65°, θ3=45°, d2/d1=0.5, d3/d1=1.5.





2D vs. 3D Differences

 K_2 values for $d_2/d_1 = 0.5$, $d_3/d_1 = 0.5$, $\theta_3 = 5$ degrees



 K_2 values for $d_2/d_1 = 0.5$, $d_3/d_1 = 1.5$, $\theta_3 = 45$ degrees

Λ

 \Diamond

80

100



3D Mark II Junction



Junction Without Surface Fills

Junction With Surface Fills

Initial Simulations – 3D Mark II

Small batch

Comparable results to original 3D runs



Reynolds number of 14 Inlet diameter of 30 microns



Pipe Results Database

Database Interface



X

0.8

1

1.2

Flow-aligned hex core Varied axial and radial spacing MySQL results database Automated Results database easy to setup for junction runs







Uniform Axial Spacing

Entrance Length in Microtubes



Entrance Length Results



Entrance Length Results



Loss Coefficients in Microelbows



Microelbow Loss Coefficients



Tee and Wye Reynolds Number Dependence





Loss Coefficient Versus Re = proportional to speed





Millijunction Experiments



Junction and Measurements





Here is the Solidworks model as well as the physical realization of our efforts to create a useable bifurcation.





Upstream from the head tank and downstream from the junction are our new, highly sensitive needle valves used to adjust the head tank flow and the flow fraction through the bifurcation.



The flow straightener we designed has a replaceable core made of glass micropipettes that can be removed completely for unrestricted flow.





Sensor

- Submersible
- Internal Instrumentation Op-Amp



Collecting





K Stop: 25.0KS/S	123 Acq	s Av	erages: 10
			Acquisition Mode
			یل Sample
			یس Peak Detect
phoneter	reductions	elendrich die holger waak geb	hanning specification
E : :	1		Hi Res
			Hi Res
(em) 5.00mV/v		M2.00ms Ch1 J	Hi Res Envelope 59.0mV Average 10



- 12 bit
- 11 Channel

Basic Stamp

- Easy to program
- Affordable

Computer

- Python
- **Real Time Plotting** with GNU Plot
- if __name__ -- '__main__': serial - connect_serial() filesave = csv.writer(open("data.csv", "wb")) while True: data = serial.readline().split() filesove.writerow(data) plot(data)



Basic Stamp Passive Filter Pressure Sensors Flow Sensors Ruby Interface





Microscale Junction Experiments

Stereo Lithography Technique

Diameter = 0.75 mm







Results -Simulations/Milliscale/Microscale





Using Network Simulations to Understand Non-Darcy Flow

Objectives

Develop an algorithm to create, mesh, and perform CFD on <u>simplified models</u> of real porous media networks. Equal number of entry and exit pores (no splits) 90° elbows only No overlap of pores within media Compare results of CFD to FTPM and empirical data in literature. Modify algorithm to allow for <u>complex models</u> of real porous media. Splits with arbitrary angles Overlaps

Using Network Simulations to Understand Non-Darcy Flow

Implementation of Objectives

Use custom codes to extract FTPM networks from code



Using Network Simulations to Understand Non-Darcy Flow

Implementation of Objectives

Use Solidworks to create network designs

Phase 1







Using Network Simulations to Understand Non-Darcy Flow

Implementation of Objectives Use Gambit to mesh networks



Close up of 90° elbow

Close up of arbitrary junction

Using Network Simulations to Understand Non-Darcy Flow

Implementation of Objectives

Use Fluent (CFD) to obtain κ and β from pressure and velocity data



FLUENT 6.3 (3d, pbns, lam)

FLUENT 6.3 (3d, pbns, lam)

Bio-Scaffold Testing



Top

Bottom



Renal Artery Aneurysm Experiments



PDMS Microtubes



Acknowledgments

The Office of Research and Grants at the University of Central Oklahoma is acknowledged for support of this research. The Donors of The Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, are also be acknowledged for support of this research through grant PRF# 47193-B9. National Science Foundation EPSCoR **Research Opportunity Award Program**

Applications

Flow in microfluidic flow networks and flow in porous networks are of interest in many engineering applications.

Applications include porous media, micro-power generation, biomedical, computer chips, chemical separation processes, micro-valves, micro-pumps, and micro-flow sensors

Yanuka, M., Dullien, F.A.L., and D.E. Elrick, 1986, "Percolation Processes And Porous Media I. Geometrical And Topological Model Of Porous Media Using A Three-Dimensional Joint Pore Size Distribution," J.Colloid Interface Sci., **112**, pp. 24-41.

Lee, W.Y., Wong, M., and Zohar, Y., 2002, "Microchannels in Series Connected Via a Contraction/expansion Section", J. Fluid Mech., **459**, pp.187-206.

Judy, J., Maynes, D., and Webb, B.W., 2002, "Characterization of Frictional Pressure Drop for Liquid Flows Through Microchannels," Intl. J. Heat Mass Trans., **45**, pp.3477-3489.

Flow in these applications is usually laminar

Graveson, P., Branebjerg, J., and Jensen, O.S., 1993, "Microfluidics a Review," J. Micromech. Microeng., 3, pp.168-182.